



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

# EDITORIAL

## COVID-19 and Chloroquine/Hydroxychloroquine: Is There Ophthalmological Concern?



MICHAEL F. MARMOR

**C**HLOROQUINE (CQ) AND HYDROXYCHLOROQUINE (HCQ) are generic antiviral agents that have shown effectiveness against severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) virus infection, and in this time of pandemic, physicians are trying any plausible approach to therapy.<sup>1</sup> News reports have appeared recently about China starting trials with a variety of medications to treat coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) infection, including both of those agents.<sup>2</sup> In fact, at least 10 trials have started now in different countries.<sup>3</sup> The Chinese are giving a course of CQ, 500 mg twice daily, for up to 10 days, or 400 mg of HCQ 4 times daily, and these extreme doses have raised concerns about retinal damage.

CQ and HCQ are well known to ophthalmologists because of retinal toxicity after long-term usage for systemic lupus erythematosus and other rheumatoid diseases. Retinopathy is seen infrequently before 10 or more years of usage at the American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) recommended dosage of <5 mg/kg/day real weight.<sup>4</sup> However, the doses proposed to treat COVID-19 are 4-5 times higher, and it is important that our specialty be informed whether there is ocular risk from these short-term treatments. Do we need to be worried, and what, if anything, should ophthalmology be doing?

Even though the Chinese COVID-19 doses are extremely high, they are used for a very brief period of time. High-dose HCQ has been used for other medical treatments. Some rheumatologists have been giving 1,200 mg/day for 6 weeks as a loading dose when starting HCQ therapy for systemic lupus erythematosus, and no visual losses have been reported, although detailed ophthalmologic examinations were not performed.<sup>5,6</sup> Two trials of treatment of myeloma and solid tumors used 1,200 mg/day for 4-8 weeks, and again no visual loss was reported.<sup>7,8</sup> The only high-dose ophthalmologic study by Leung and associates<sup>9</sup> followed 7 patients at 3-month intervals for 7-25 months while using 1,000 mg/day HCQ therapy for small-cell lung cancer. By patient weight, these doses

were 3-5 times greater than that recommended by the AAO. Two patients developed subtle and suggestive changes on optical coherence tomography in the parafoveal ellipsoid zone after 11 and 17 months and definitive toxicity after 15 and 25 months. None of the other patients showed damage. Thus, evidence to date indicates that extreme doses do accelerate retinal toxicity but with a probable time course of many months rather than days.

As this is being written, other reports are coming out that may alter the landscape of CQ and HCQ usage, and more reports will show up by the time this one is published. For example, a prepublication just appeared of a small French trial of 22 COVID-19-positive patients using 600 mg/day HCQ for 10 days to reduce the viral load.<sup>10</sup> The number of polymerase chain reaction-positive cases fell nearly 50% relative to those of controls and dropped to nearly zero if azithromycin was added. The HCQ dosage of 600 mg/day is only approximately twice that recommended by the AAO, on average, and should present no risk of retinopathy in this time frame. News media are now also citing interest in the use of CQ or HCQ intermittently as prophylaxis, much like the use for malaria, although doses have not been mentioned.

Ophthalmologists should judge all of this evolving information in light of well-established knowledge about dose, weight, and duration as the primary determinants of risk of retinopathy.<sup>11</sup> Older studies used to cite 1,000 g/day as a "toxic" dose of HCQ, but measurements of absolute usage are misleading with respect to retinopathy because toxicity relates to *dose by weight*.<sup>4,11</sup> People come in all sizes, and 400 mg means something very different in terms of risk to a small woman than it does to a large man. Short-term trials (less than 2 weeks) will have negligible risk, even with doses 5-6 times the usual recommended maximum dosage of <5 mg/kg/day. Usage for a few months will still have very low risk with doses less than 3-4 times the usual level. However, if physicians suggest using these drugs for a year or more, I would strongly advise staying within the AAO recommendation and screening the patient annually.

The bottom line: I do not believe ophthalmic screening is necessary for COVID-19 patients who take CQ or HCQ for less than 2 weeks as antiviral therapy, because the likelihood of retinal damage is exceedingly low, even with high doses. In a time of pandemic with worldwide shortages of

Accepted for publication Mar 22, 2020.

From the Department of Ophthalmology and Byers Eye Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California.

Inquiries to Michael F. Marmor, Department of Ophthalmology and Byers Eye Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California 94305, USA; e-mail: [marmor@stanford.edu](mailto:marmor@stanford.edu)

medical personnel, funds, hospital beds, equipment, screening tests, and proven therapy, it would be counterproductive (and raise inappropriate fears) to suggest the addition of labor-intensive and expensive eye examinations that are of low yield. However, as new protocols arise, these steps will need to be evaluated relative to the risk of retinopathy that their particular doses and durations of use may pose. Ophthalmologists will be most effective in this time of crisis by reassuring physicians and the public where retinopathy is not a serious concern with respect to CQ or HCQ usage for coronavirus.

## REFERENCES

1. Devaux CA, Rolain J-M, Colson P, Raoult D. New insights on the antiviral effects of chloroquine against coronavirus: what to expect for COVID-19? *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2020; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105938>.
2. Gao J, Zhenxue T, Yang X. Breakthrough: chloroquine phosphate has shown apparent efficacy in treatment of COVID-19 associated pneumonia in clinical studies. *Bioscience Trends* 2020;14:72–73.
3. Harrison C. Coronavirus puts drug repurposing on the fast track. *Nat Biotechnol* 2020;38:379–381.
4. Marmor M, Kellner U, Lai TY, Melles RB, Mieler WF. Recommendations on screening for chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine retinopathy (2016 revision). *Ophthalmology* 2016;123:1386–1394.
5. Furst DE, Lindsley H, Baethge B, et al. Dose-loading with hydroxychloroquine improves the rate of response in early, active rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized, double-blind six-week trial with eighteen-week extension. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 1999;42:357–365.
6. Munster T, Gibbs JP, Shen D, et al. Hydroxychloroquine concentration-response relationships in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2002;46:1460–1469.
7. Vogl DT, Stadtmauer EA, Tan KS, et al. Combined autophagy and proteasome inhibition: a phase I trial of hydroxychloroquine and bortezomib in patients with relapsed/refractory myeloma. *Autophagy* 2014;10:1380–1390.
8. Rangwala R, Chang YC, Hu J, et al. Combined MTOR and autophagy inhibition: phase I trial of hydroxychloroquine and temsirolimus in patients with advanced solid tumors and melanoma. *Autophagy* 2014;10:1391–1402.
9. Leung LB, Neal JW, Wakelee HA, Sequist LV, Marmor MF. Rapid onset of retinal toxicity from high-dose hydroxychloroquine given for cancer therapy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2015;160:799–805.
10. Gautret P, Lagier J-C, Parola P, et al. Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of COVID-19: results of an open-label non-randomized clinical trial. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2020; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101663>.
11. Melles RG, Marmor MF. The risk of toxic retinopathy in patients on long-term hydroxychloroquine therapy. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2014;132:1453–1460.